## **PRESENTER**



## Denham Martin, Denham Martin Lawyer, Auckland

Denham has published extensively on tax and trust matters and been involved with tax and trust disputes and litigation. He is a recognised expert on many areas of tax and trust law (including cross-border and double tax treaty questions).

The statements and conclusions contained in this paper are those of the author(s) only and not those of the New Zealand Law Society. This booklet has been prepared for the purpose of a Continuing Legal Education course. It is not intended to be a comprehensive statement of the law or practice, and should not be relied upon as such. If advice on the law is required, it should be sought on a formal, professional basis.

## **CONTENTS**

TAX TRANSPARENCY – PRACTICAL IMPLICATIONS FOR CLIENTS	
INTRODUCTION	
Vast wealth held in offshore accounts	
States tolerance for accounts	
GFC forces states to act	
Automatic exchange of information	
FATCA	
Single global standard for AEOI	
Revolution in tax transparency and information exchange	
ADOPTION AND IMPLEMENTATION OF AEOI IN NEW ZEALAND	
AEOI now adopted in New Zealand	
Statutory scheme (Part 11B of the TAA)	
Start date of CRS, first exchange of account information and monitoring of AEOI pe	
Participating and reportable jurisdictions	
Differences between FATCA and CRS obligations	10
Enforcement	10
CRS anti-avoidance rule	12
Principal obligations imposed on reporting financial institutions by AEOI rules	12
Wider reporting approach	13
Determining what is a New Zealand reporting financial institution?	13
When will a trust be an FI?	
When will a trust be a New Zealand FI?	
Can a trust that is an NZFI be a non-reporting NZFI?	18
Due diligence obligations for trust that is RNZFI	
Timeframes and other requirements for due diligence on accounts	19
CRS obligations in respect of NFE trusts	
Miscellaneous points regarding CRS and trusts	
JUDICIAL APPROACHES TO NEW TAX TRANSPARENCY	
Constitutional or vires challenges to AEOI	
EIOR	
How request actioned in practice in New Zealand	
Chatfield v C of IR	
CONCLUDING COMMENTS	
What the changes mean for practitioners	30